

JUN 11 2004

**Applicant-Initiated Interview Request Form****OFFICIAL**Application No.: 09/539,090Examiner: Chuck O. Kendall, Art Unit: 2122First Applicant: Gueorgui B. ChkodrovStatus of Application: Pending

## Tentative Participants:

(1) John Bretscher, Reg. No. 52,651

(2)

(3)

(4)

Proposed Date of Interview: (Examiner's Choice)Proposed Time: (Examiner's Choice)

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated:

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, then provide a brief description:

**Issues to be Discussed**

| Issues               | Claims/<br>Figures | Prior<br>Art          | Discussed                | Agreed                   | Not<br>Agreed            |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) <u>Rejection</u> | <u>1-24</u>        | <u>Rose, Lewallen</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2)                  |                    |                       | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3)                  |                    |                       | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4)                  |                    |                       | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Continuation Sheet Attached? ☒ Yes (one additional sheet)

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

A proposed amendment to independent claim 1 clarifies the meaning of "during program execution" to more clearly distinguish the invention as claimed over the cited art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

Notes:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

  
 (Applicants' Representative Signature)
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. Send to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

**DRAFT**

In re Application of: Chkodrov et al.  
Application No.: 09/539,090

Draft Proposed Amendment

1. (Proposed Amendment) A method of constructing a computer program developed with an object-oriented programming language, the method comprising:
  - declaring a base class as replaceable in a first source file;
  - invoking, in the first source file, an operator to create an object of the base class;
  - compiling the first source file into a first module, including emitting an instruction to create an object of the base class in response to the invoking of the operator to create in the first source file;
  - defining a replacement class inheriting from the base class in a second source file;
  - instructing in the second source file to replace the base class with the replacement class to cause creation of an object of the replacement class when the instruction in the first module to create an object of the base class is executed during program execution, the program execution occurring after the compiling of the first source file, the replacement occurring without recompiling the first source file;
  - compiling the second source file into a second module; and
  - combining the first and second modules in an executable program.

**DRAFT**